Characteristics of glycometabolism in individuals without diabetes and a model to assess their glucometabolic category

Tomoki Uchida^{1, 2}, Takeshi Kanamori², Takanori Teramoto², Hiroyuki Kato³, Yuji Nonaka⁴, Makoto Suematsu⁵, Hiroki Tanaka¹, Satoshi Nakamura^{1, 6}, Norihito Murayama²

1, Graduate School of Science and Technology, Nara Institute of Science and Technology, Japan. 2, Suntory Global Innovation Center Limited, Research Institute, Japan. 3, Development & Design Department, Japan Business Division, Suntory Beverage & Food Limited, Japan. 4, Research Planning Division, Suntory Holdings Limited, Japan. 5, Department of Biochemistry, Keio University School of Medicine, Japan. 6, Data Science Center, Nara Institute of Science and Technology, Japan

Introduction

Backgrounds:

Early detection of prediabetes and improvement of lifestyle are essential for diabetes prevention. The oral glucose tolerance test (OGTT) is a standard method to evaluate the state of glucose metabolism. However, it is rarely performed in non-diabetics. The models also have been developed to detect prediabetes using medical checkup values. However, there is no easy tool to assess the glycometabolic profiles of non-diabetics. **Aims:**

• To classify glycometabolic profiles of non-diabetics into different categories based on the OGTT results.

• To develop a model that predict the glycometabolic category using a lifestyle questionnaire.

Methods

Data collection:

- Participants: 977 Japanese adults aged 20-64 without diabetes
- OGTT: Blood glucose and insulin levels at 0, 30, 60, 90, and 120 minutes
- Lifestyle questionnaire (309 questions): Topics are exercise and sleep habits, diet, family history, constitution, and physical condition.

Classification of the glycometabolic category:

	120 mPG < 126 mg/dL	120 mPG ≥ 126 mg/dL
	and Mi > 4.97	or Mi ≤ 4.97
30 mPG < 157 mg/dL	Category 1	Category 2
30 mPG ≥ 157 mg/dL	Category 3	Category 4

Figure 1. the classification conditions for the glycometabolic categories.

Abbreviations: x mPG, x-min post-load plasma glucose level; Mi, Matsuda index

Development of the models:

Explanatory variables: questionnaire answers Objective variables: glycometabolic categories Considered models: decision tree, support vector machine, random forest, and XGBoost



Figure 2. Flow chart of the models development

Results

Characteristics of the glycometabolic categories:

Performances of the models:

AUC for classifying

category 1 and

the others

0.68 (0.62-0.75)

1.0

0.8

Sensitivity 0.4 0.6

0.2

0.0

0.0

0.2 0.4

Compared with category 1 (the best glucose metabolism group, 46% of the participants), Category 2: low insulin sensitivity and high 120-min blood glucose levels (21%) Category 3: low insulin-secreting capacity and rapid rise in blood glucose levels (13%) Category 4: combination of categories 2 and 3 (20%)

• Another random forest model was developed using top 10 important variables in the

(AUCs) for classifying [category 1, 2, 3, 4] and the others were [0.68, 0.66, 0.61, 0.70].

previous random forest model. Its area under the receiver operating characteristic curves



Random forest provided the best performance among the models.

Table 1. Performance of the random forest model using ten variables (95% confidence interval)

Specificity to

detect category 1

0.41

Figure 3. Mean blood glucose (a) and insulin (b) levels of participants in each glycometabolic category in the oral glucose tolerance test

AUC for classifying

category 4 and

the others

0.70 (0.62-0.77)

Yellow line: Category 1 Green line: Category 2 Blue line: Category 3 Red line: Category 4

Conclusion

- Japanese adults without diabetes are classified into four categories with each different insulin sensitivity and insulin secretion.
- The random forest model was developed for assessing the glycometabolic category in nondiabetics. It needed only 10 lifestyle questions.
- Some of the selected factors were not reported clear association with glucose metabolism. Future studies may clarify the association with diabetes risk.

References

- World Health Organization & International Diabetes Federation. Definition and diagnosis of diabetes mellitus and intermediate hyperglycaemia: report of a WHO/IDF consultation. World Health Organization; 2006 [cited Aug 2021].
- Phillips DI, Clark PM, Hales CN et al. Understanding oral glucose tolerance: comparison of glucose or insulin measurements during the oral glucose tolerance test with specific measurements of insulin resistance and insulin secretion. Diabet Med 1994; 11(3): 286-292.
- Paulweber B, Valensi P, Lindström J, et al. A European evidence-based guideline for the prevention of type 2 diabetes. Horm Metab Res 2010; 42: S3-S36.
- Barber SR, Davies MJ, Khunti K, et al. Risk assessment tools for detecting those with pre-diabetes: a systematic review. Diabetes Res Clin Pract. 2014; 105: 1-13.
- 5. Khan FA, Zeb K, Rakhami AM, et al. Detection and Prediction of Diabetes Using Data Mining: A
- Comprehensive Review. IEEE 2021; 9: 43711-43735.
 Hirakawa Y, Hata J, Yoshinari M, et al. 30-minute postload plasma glucose levels during an oral glucose tolerance test predict the risk of future type 2 diabetes: the Hisayama Study. BMJ Open Diabetes Res Care 202; 8: e001156.
- Yoshinari M, Hirakawa Y, Hata J, et al. Comparison of the contributions of impaired beta cell function and insulin resistance to the development of type 2 diabetes in a Japanese community: the Hisayama Study. Diabetologia. 2021;64(8):1775-1784.

Conflict of interests:

This study was funded by the Suntory Global Innovation Center Limited.

1 - Specificity
Figure 4. The ROC curves of the model

0.6 0.8 1.0

ategory 1 vs others

Category 2 vs others

ategory 3 vs others

ategory 4 vs other

Sensitivity to

detect categories

2, 3, and 4

0.70

Table 2. The variables and these importances of the model

category 3 and

the others

0.61 (0.51-0.70)

AUC for classifying AUC for classifying

category 2 and

the others

0.66 (0.58-0.73)

Variable	The mean decrease in Gini coefficient
Body mass index	10.3
Age	8.1
Height	3.3
Wake up in the middle of the night	3.1
Which do you usually eat, rice or bread?	2.5
Frequency of tea intake at lunch	2.1
Wake up late on non-working day	1.9
Frequency of mobile phone and tablet computer use at bedtime	1.4
Frequency of soup intake	1.4
Frequency of toothbrush replacement	0.8